Lecture slides (CT4201/EC4215 – Computer Graphics)

Acceleration Data Structures

Lecturer: Bochang Moon



Ray Tracing

- Procedure for Ray Tracing:
- For each pixel
 - Generate a primary ray (with depth 0)
 - \circ While (depth < d) {
 - Find the closest intersection point between the ray and objects
 - If (there is a hit) then
 - Generate a shadow ray
 - If (there is no hit between the shadow ray and a light) then
 - Perform a shading
 - Generate a secondary ray (reflection or refraction ray) // increase the ray depth +1
 - Else
 - Perform a shading with background color }
 - O Return background color



Naïve Ray Tracing

• Problem: find the closest intersection point between the ray p(t) = e + td

- For each triangle
 - Compute the intersection point (i.e., t) between a ray and triangle
 - If (there is a hit and t < stored t)
 - Store shading information and the ray parameter t
 - O Return the shading information

• The complexity of this naïve algorithm is O(N), where N is the number of triangles in the scene



Spatial Data Structures

- Group objects together into a hierarchy to accelerate the geometry processing
- The complexity using the acceleration data structures can be a sub-linear time (e.g., O(logN))

- Object partitioning:
 - Bounding Volume Hierarchy (BVH)
- Space partitioning:
 - O Uniform Grids
 - Octree (3D) or QuadTree (2D)
 - Binary space partition tree (BSP)
 - 0 kD-Trees



• The key operation is to perform an intersection

test between a ray and bounding box

 Need to know only whether a ray hits the box or not



- Ray: p(t) = e + td
- 2D version

 $\circ (x, y) \in [x_{min}, x_{max}] \times [y_{min}, y_{max}]$



- Ray: p(t) = e + td
- 2D version
 - $\circ (x, y) \in [x_{min}, x_{max}] \times [y_{min}, y_{max}]$

• $t_{xmin} = \frac{x_{min} - x_e}{x_d}$

- $t_{xmax} = \frac{x_{max} x_e}{x_d}$
- $t_{ymin} = \frac{y_{min} y_e}{y_d}$
- $t_{ymax} = \frac{y_{max} y_e}{y_d}$





- Ray: p(t) = e + td
- $t_{xmin} = \frac{x_{min} x_e}{x_d}$, $t_{xmax} = \frac{x_{max} x_e}{x_d}$
- $t_{ymin} = \frac{y_{min} y_e}{y_d}, t_{ymax} = \frac{y_{max} y_e}{y_d}$
- $t \in [t_{xmin}, t_{xmax}]$
- $t \in [t_{ymin}, t_{ymax}]$
- $t \in [t_{xmin}, t_{xmax}] \cap [t_{ymin}, t_{ymax}]$
- A ray hits the box if and only if the two intervals overlap.





- Procedure for testing the intersection
 - \circ Compute t_{xmin} , t_{xmax} , t_{ymin} , t_{ymax}

 \circ If ($t_{xmin} > t_{ymax}$ or $t_{xmax} < t_{ymin}$)

No hit

 \circ else

Hit





• Negative x_d or y_d :

• A ray will hit x_{max} (or y_{max}) before it hits x_{min} (or y_{min})

• If $(x_d \ge 0)$ then

- $t_{min} = (x_{min} x_e)/x_d$
- $t_{max} = (x_{max} x_e)/x_d$

o else

- $t_{min} = (x_{max} x_e)/x_d$
- $t_{max} = (x_{min} x_e)/x_d$
- If $(y_d \ge 0)$ then
 - $t_{min} = (y_{min} y_e)/y_d$
 - $t_{max} = (y_{max} y_e)/y_d$

o else

- $t_{min} = (y_{max} y_e)/y_d$
- $t_{max} = (y_{min} y_e)/y_d$



- Zero x_d or y_d:
 O Divide-by-zero issue
- Given a number $a \in \mathbb{R}^+$, IEEE floating point rules provide the following:

$$O \frac{+a}{+0} = \infty$$

$$O \frac{-a}{+0} = -\infty$$

$$O [t_{xmin}, t_{xmax}] = [-\infty, -\infty], [\infty, \infty]: \text{ no hit}$$

$$O [t_{xmin}, t_{xmax}] = [-\infty, \infty]: \text{ hit}$$

$$O \text{ The precious code works for +0 denominator}$$

• How about -0 denominator?

• We can test a reciprocal of the ray direction (e.g., $1/x_d$)



- -0 denominator?
 - If ($x_d \ge 0$) then
 - $t_{min} = (x_{min} x_e)/x_d$
 - $t_{max} = (x_{max} x_e)/x_d$

 \circ else

- $t_{min} = (x_{max} x_e)/x_d$
- $t_{max} = (x_{min} x_e)/x_d$

- Problem: the first if statements will be true because -0 == 0 is true (IEEE floating point standard), so we can miss valid hits.
 O A remedy is test a reciprocal of the ray direction (e.g., 1/x_d) instead of x_d
 - O More detail:
 - An Efficient and Robust Ray–Box Intersection Algorithm, Williams et al. 2005



Hierarchical Bounding Boxes

- Motivation: expensive as we need to test all primitives within a bounding box that a ray hits
- Solution: the bounding boxes can be built in a hierarchical way

Two popular hierarchical methods:
 O Bounding volume hierarchy (BVH)
 O Kd-tree





• Step 1. Compute a bounding box of primitives

 \circ e.g., Axis-Aligned Bounding Box (AABB) $[x_{min}, y_{min}, z_{min}] \times [x_{max}, y_{max}, z_{max}]$

- Step 2. Split the primitives into two groups and compute the child BVs
- Step 3. Go to Step 1 until the number of primitives < k







• Step 1. Compute a bounding box of primitives

 \circ e.g., Axis-Aligned Bounding Box (AABB) $[x_{min}, y_{min}, z_{min}] \times [x_{max}, y_{max}, z_{max}]$

- Step 2. Split the primitives into two groups and compute the child BVs
- Step 3. Go to Step 1 until the number of primitives < k







• Step 1. Compute a bounding box of primitives

 \circ e.g., Axis-Aligned Bounding Box (AABB) $[x_{min}, y_{min}, z_{min}] \times [x_{max}, y_{max}, z_{max}]$

- Step 2. Split the primitives into two groups and compute the child BVs
- Step 3. Go to Step 1 until the number of primitives < k







- Where should we split the primitives?
 - O Midpoint of a volume
 - O Sort the primitives, and select the median
 - O Other approaches?
 - Surface Area Heuristic (SAH)







- Traversal procedure:
 - O Check whether the intersection occurs
 - O If (hit and t < ray.t) then</pre>
 - If (the BV is a leaf node)
 - Find the closest intersection point between the ray and triangle
 - If (the ray hits triangles) then
 - ray.t = t (from the closest intersection)
 - Store some shading info.
 - else
 - Check an intersection using its child BVs





- Properties of BVH
 - O Object partitioning: split primitives
 - \odot Some BVs can overlap each other





• Recursively split space with axis-aligned planes







• Recursively split space with axis-aligned planes







• Recursively split space with axis-aligned planes







- Recursively split space with axis-aligned planes
 - Some nodes can point same triangles if we cannot split them









Traversal

- Front-to-back traversal: traverse child nodes in order along a ray
- Can terminate traversal as soon as an intersection between a ray and triangle is found







Traversal

- Front-to-back traversal: traverse child nodes in order along a ray
- Can terminate traversal as soon as an intersection between a ray and triangle is found







• Traversal

- Front-to-back traversal: traverse child nodes in order along a ray
- Can terminate traversal as soon as an intersection between a ray and triangle is found





Stack: N6



Traversal

- Front-to-back traversal: traverse child nodes in order along a ray
- Can terminate traversal as soon as an intersection between a ray and triangle is found







Traversal

- Front-to-back traversal: traverse child nodes in order along a ray
- Can terminate traversal as soon as an intersection between a ray and triangle is found







• Traversal

- Front-to-back traversal: traverse child nodes in order along a ray
- Can terminate traversal as soon as an intersection between a ray and triangle is found







• Traversal

- Front-to-back traversal: traverse child nodes in order along a ray
- Can terminate traversal as soon as an intersection between a ray and triangle is found



boratorv



• Traversal

- O Front-to-back traversal: traverse child nodes in order along a ray
- Can terminate traversal as soon as an intersection between a ray and triangle is found
- What's difference compared to the traversal on BVH?





Other Structures

• Uniform grids

O Partition the whole space into equal-size cells

- Binary space partition (BSP) tree
 - Recursively split space with planes (arbitrary orientations)
 - Kd-tree is a special case of BSP tree: it uses an axisaligned plane for partitioning



• Octree

 Recursively split space but each inner node has 8 equal-size voxels



Discussion Points

- Axis-aligned bounding box (AABB)?
 - O Cheap to compute the intersection
 - O Bounding box may be too loose
 - O Oriented bound box (OBB) can be better to fit objects, but this requires more complex computations
 - O Other shapes (e.g., sphere) can be utilized
 - O What's the ideal bounding volume?



Discussion Points

- What's the best hierarchy?
 - Usually need to consider the following:
 - Pre-processing time (construction)
 - Run-time (rendering)
 - Memory to save all the nodes
 - O Deformable objects can require run-time constructions
 - O Hybrid?
 - Maintain two-level hierarchy
 - e.g., top-level: grids, low-level: kd-tree



Further Readings

• Chapter 12

